we really strongly believe that the settlement agreement is in the public interest or we would not have advanced it, meaning the Bureau and the licensees that have signed onto it. And so speaking collectively on behalf of those that signed the settlement agreement, we wouldn't frivolously put something before you to consider, but we are only willing overlook the allegations insofar as commitments advanced in that settlement agreement.

We are not, by entering that settlement agreement, commenting on the legitimacy of our case would to advance it to hearing. And that's something I'm clarifying more for Mr. Waugh than for your Honor, and I'm also clarifying it for the record.

But the third and final point that I'd like to make is that, you know, I know that your Honor made the suggestion off the top of his head, but the one about, you know, there being some sort of remaining in place of

1

2

3

4

5

6

. 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the restriction that's currently in the settlement and just adding a caveat to that restriction that unless the competent court orders otherwise, you know, the Bureau thinks offhand that that is a great idea.

of course, the Bureau would have to check. We'd have to check with our client in order to confirm whether that would be possible. And it's not the preferred route that we would take given the time and efforts that everyone has expended into a negotiated agreement that is currently signed and has already been submitted for your Honor's approval, but just to, to float the idea and to go along with what you said, to explore options as to whether this case can be terminated without the need for a hearing.

I would ask that you please pose the question to Mr. Waugh through his counsel. Would they be amenable to a settlement agreement were it revised in that fashion?

JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Want to

1	consider that one, Mr. Silva?
2	MR. SILVA: Absolutely. I mean,
3	subject to checking with my
- 4	JUDGE SIPPEL: I understand.
5	MR. SILVA: client, but
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: But all that stuff
7	about rebanding and everything and the general
8	counsel and all that, that's has to go. That
9	would have to go.
10	MR. SILVA: All right.
11	MS. SINGH: So just to be clear,
12	and for the Bureau to fully understand the
13	proposal that Mr. Waugh is willing to
14	entertain through his attorney, it is our
15	understanding that the settlement agreement
16	would remain exactly as is except that
17	provisions that deal with transfer of stock to
18	Mr. Waugh through a voting trust held for his
19	benefit would contain a few words of caveat
20	that state that it would remain that way until
21	
21	or unless a court of competent jurisdiction

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: But that includes	
2	appeals and everything.	
3	MS. SINGH: That includes appeals,	
4	finality, and everything else that could	
5	happen as a result of that action. That is	
6	the Bureau's understanding of the presiding	
7	judge's ideas. Is that correct?	
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's it.	
9	MS. SINGH: Okay. And, Mr I	
10	would ask that Mr. Waugh confirm if he's on	
11	the same page through his counsel.	
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'll give you	
13	ten days to figure it out.	
14	MS. SINGH: Ten days.	
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: I don't have a	
16	calendar with me right now. What is can	
17	someone count ten days?	
18	MS. SINGH: That would be September	
19	19 if it's calendar days.	
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. What day	
21	what day of the week oh, here, I got one	
22	right here. September 19 is a Saturday, can't	

1	do it.
2	MS. SINGH: So September 21 would
3	be the next business day that follows.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. That's not
5	some kind of a holiday or something, is it?
6	MS. SINGH: September 21 is not.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: September is loaded
8	with holidays.
9	MS. SINGH: Yes, your Honor. As
10	far as the Bureau understands, it's not a
11	holiday of the type that
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: It's not an
13	observance or something like that?
14	MR. SILVA: No.
15	MS. SINGH: No, it's not.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Okay. Let's
17	do it on the 21st then, by the 21st.
18	MR. SILVA: But, your Honor, I
19	wonder if we should ask Mr. Austin what his
20	position is.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: No. Well, yes, of
22	course, but I don't think that this is an

appropriate form to do it with. I've the Bureau onboard, you got to check on your client, and I think that the, the interests of the company are going to have to be sifted through by Mr. Austin and Mr. Guskey and whoever of these other -- apparently there's a good group of dissident shareholders and a bad group of dissident shareholders, my characteristics.

As long as the good guys go along with it I guess that would be no problem. But I can't speak for you. I can't speak for the company. But you got ten days to figure it out, so -- and talk to the Bureau counsel very carefully before you make a final decision that's all.

MR. GUSKEY: Yes, your Honor, I appreciate that. This is Mr. Guskey. As far as your suggestion and the language change, that is completely in line with the pleading that Preferred had filed.

In a settlement fact statement, it

1.3

specifically in arguing that Mr. Waugh has not been punished, and he's not legally right, pointed out that he remains that he has a claim and he can take that claim to court in which case if he gets specific performance ordered by that court that that is something that Preferred would be to abide by.

So since the topic is on the table being discussed and the points of view and the positive force that I'm hearing from the EB and Mr. Silva, what you described, Preferred has already acknowledged exists, and again, that emphasizes that the, the denial of Mr. Waugh's claim was not imposed by the Enforcement Bureau.

It was a Preferred corporate decision and in line with that perspective and stance, he likewise acknowledged that should a court of competent jurisdiction order those shares issued that the Preferred subject to its appeal or challenge to that would abide by that court as having proper jurisdiction over

1 the matter as opposed to this court for 2 instance. 3 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Well, I like what I'm hearing. Okay, that's set- We don't 4 5 need to discuss it today any further then. 6 MS. SINGH: Your Honor, if I may. 7 The reason that the Bureau requested a 8 conference today was to iron out any pleading cycles that may be necessary for pending 9 10 pleadings before your Honor. 11 If we were to entertain the idea 12 of discussing by September 21 what the 13 proposal vetted here might be for the parties 14 and whether they'd be an agreement for it, 15 assuming that they would be, could you please 16 let us know what the pleading cycle for any 17 pleadings due as a result of that discussion 18 might be? 19 JUDGE SIPPEL: You talking about, 20 you mean, like a full, a full opposition to 21 the -- you had several things I think going

The big one to me was the opposition to

on.

1	the partial summary.
2	MS. SINGH: Well, your Honor,
3	actually, first I was only getting to the
4	matter
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you have a list?
6	MS. SINGH: First, I was just
7	getting to the matter that we immediately
8	discussed, meaning if the parties vet with
9	their individual clients and investors and
10	shareholders and everybody else, that such a
11	provision, meaning the provision about a
12	caveat being added to the voting trust
13	restriction in the settlement agreement, if
14	everybody vets it and it's okay by September
15	21, by when would we need to file the
16	pleadings before your Honor effectuating that?
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'm saying by
18	the 21st there would be something filed on the
19	record that would say, or a status statement,
20	
21	MS. SINGH: Okay.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: saying, agreed,
J	1

and, you know, then you can tell me, give us five or ten days. I mean you can do it in any number of ways. You can just add it in pencil, pen rather, you can write it right into that agreement rather than having to recast the agreement and everything. It would just be a question of dating it and initialing the change. It's not really a change either. It's just an add-on.

MS. SINGH: All right.

JUDGE SIPPEL: But I don't want to tell you how to do it, I mean, but look at it and see -- look, if you agree in principle to this by the 21st of September and you let me know that way either by a status report, you started off with an email, but at some point you're going to have to get it on the record by the 21st.

MS. SINGH: So your --

JUDGE SIPPEL: You can have, you know, another ten days to get the paperwork done. I don't care. I mean, I want to know

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	that the case is under control. Right not,	
2	it's not. If you do this, it will be.	
3	MS. SINGH: All right. So just	
4	make sure that the Bureau understands, your	
5	Honor.	
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Sure. I'll issue an	
7	order on this too, but go ahead. You go	
8	ahead. You ask me what you want to ask me.	
9	MS. SINGH: Thank you, your Honor.	
10	Would the parties' documents, due to this	
11	effect, then be due on October 1, which is ten	
12	calendar days after September 21, the deadline	
13	to conclude such additional negotiations?	
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I can put that	
15	in if that's what is that okay?	
16	MR. SILVA: Yes.	
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: What is October 1st?	
18	Again, that's not a holiday.	
19	MS. SINGH: That's a Friday, your	
20	Honor.	
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: It looks to me a	
22	Thursday, okay.	

1	MR. SILVA: It is a Thursday.
2	MS. SINGH: I'm sorry, it is a
3	Thursday.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's fine. That's
5	fine. So give me the days again, 21 September
6	and 1 October.
7	MS. SINGH: Yes, so the Bureau's
8	understanding is that by 21 September, the
9	parties must conclude this extra round of
10	negotiations if it undertakes them and
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Correct.
12	MS. SINGH: present a status
13	report to your Honor, and by October 1, the
14	parties and the Bureau must file any documents
15	effectuating those negotiations if they
16	successfully conclude in an amendment to the
17	settlement agreement.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's fine.
19	MS. SINGH: And would the would
20	your Honor be amenable to continuing the
21	freeze on additional pleadings
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Exactly. Everything

1	is frozen, except this.	
2	MS. SINGH: Okay.	
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Everything is	
4	frozen, but I will, I mean, that freeze order	
5	was by email. I will reduce that to formal	
6	order also.	
7	MR. SILVA: Your Honor, in	
8	connection with the freeze, I had a deadline	
9	yesterday to file my appeal under 1.302	
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: You got it. You	
11	made it.	
12	MR. SILVA: which I filed it,	
13	you know,	
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: I can't freeze	
15	MR. SILVA: Okay. Well, I just	
16	wanted to explain that I wasn't in	
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, no.	
18	MR. SILVA: derogation of the	
19	freeze. It was just something that we felt	
20	that we had to do.	
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: For my purposes, Mr.	
22	Silva, I looked upon it as a status report.	

1	The Commission will see it differently	
2	perhaps, but I don't think there's going to be	
3	any problem. If we tell the Commission by the	
4	21st or around the 21st of September that this	
5	thing is all put to bed then I'm thinking	
6	everybody is going to be very happy.	
7	MR. OSHINSKY: Your Honor, so then	
8	just to be clear,	
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, sir.	
10	MR. OSHINSKY: if we file the	
11	status report presumably, and it's	
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: You can do it before	
13	the 21st if you want.	
14	MR. OSHINSKY: But do we still	
15	return to, to see your Honor on the 21st or	
16	not?	
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, you're not going	
18	to come back and see me.	
19	MR. OSHINSKY: Okay.	
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: You just tell me	
21	that in the principle, here is what has been	
22	agreed to, that we will add this provision or	

something of that nature and that everybody is onboard with it. And then, I mean, I'm assuming you're going to have that pinned down by exchange of letters or somehow or other between the parties.

You're letting me know that it's solved, the problem is solved. And then you got until October 1 to get the formal paperwork together, which I would think would be very simple. So that, so like you're filing on the record on other words.

The public record is going to say, this is an amended settlement agreement, or whatever it is, you know, however you want to call it. Or you can even have a rider on it. I mean, any way -- whatever is the most convenient way to do it that does the job.

MS. SINGH: Thank you, your Honor.

And just to, just to entertain the possibility

if it exists that the parties cannot

successfully conclude their negotiations and

if your Honor were to lift any freeze on the

pleadings to be filed, could the 1 request a conference at that time to revisit 2 the pleading schedule that we could have 3 otherwise talked about today? 4 JUDGE SIPPEL: There may the 5 necessary, necessary to have, could very well 6 be necessary to have another conference, but .7 remember that there is still pending. I have 8 not revoked my order. My order is dismissing 9 the case to suspended pending all of this. Am 10 11 I correct? I mean, I'll go back and look at 12 the terminology I used, but I did not revoke 13 it. 14 MS. SINGH: That's correct according to our understanding, your Honor. 15 MR. OSHINSKY: No, you stated it. 16 JUDGE SIPPEL: So I don't -- I see 17 no harm done, but somebody is going to have, 18 or myself, is going to have somehow refer to 19 of the issue the 20 the Commission on jurisdiction. In other words, if I can't, we 21 can't make this happen by the 21st, on October 22

1	1st, do I still have jurisdiction over this
2	case since there's an appeal up there now.
3	And, you know, my order, unless I
4	revoke my-order, and I still I don't know,
5	you know, do you understand what I'm
6	saying? I mean, I'm not all together clear at
7	what point does my does my clock run out?
8	MS. SINGH: We're aware of that
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Unless somebody has
10	a I don't think, if anybody hasn't raised
11	an objection, I don't think it's going to be
12	a problem, so I think as a practical matter it
13	won't.
14	MS. SINGH: Your Honor, with
L5	respect to the appeal that was currently filed
16	and pending by Michael Judy, we understand
17	that he's
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: He pulled that back.
19	MS. SINGH: And with respect to the
20	appeal filed by Mr. Waugh, the Enforcement
21	Bureau could potentially file a similar
22	pleading to what it filed in response to the

1	Michael Judy appeal notifying the Commission	
2	that the matter remains pending before your	
3	Honor.	
- 4	JUDGE SIPPEL: I think you can	
5	wait. Wait until after my suggestion would	
6	be to wait. You can do what you want with the	
7	Commission. I can't tell you what to do	
8	there, but wait until after the 21st. You	
9	know, if you have this thing then you might	
10	want to just send a document up there saying	
11	that it's moved.	
12	MR. SILVA: Well, I could withdraw	
13	it.	
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: He withdraw it, yes.	
15	I mean, mechanically, I'm not worried about	
16	that. You're being, you're being very	
17	careful. It's good lawyering.	
18	MS. SINGH: Thank you, your Honor.	
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. You've	
20	exhausted me.	
21	MS. SINGH: And ourselves.	
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: And it's what	

1	time is it there on the clock?
2	MS. SINGH: 11:52, your Honor.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. If this
4	works, time well spent. Thank you very much.
5	We're at recess until the next call. Thank
6	you.
7	(Whereupon, proceedings in the
8	above-entitled matter went off the record at
9	11:52 a.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

Pendleton C. Waugh,	et al	
Name of Hearing		w. w. st
EB DOCKET NO. 07-14	17	
Docket No. (if appl	.icable)	
445 12th STREET, S.V	w., WASHINGTON, D.C.	
Place of Hearing		
September 9, 2009		
Date of Hearing		
numbers 88 through complete transcriptChad Jackson the above identified provisions of the component of the component of the reporting or recomparing the final reporting or record September 9, 2009	d hearing, in accordance with the surrent Federal Communication im reporting and transcript ied the accuracy of the accomparing the typewritten transcript cording accomplished at the proofed typewritten transcring accomplished at the heat Chad Jackson	e, accurate and g by in attendance at th applicable ns Commission's ion statement of uracy of the anscript against hearings and (2) ript against the ring or conference.
Date	Legible Name and Signature Name of Company:Neal Gr	
September 9, 2009	Rosemarie Brown	E Maria Brown
Date	Legible Name and Signature Name of Company:Neal, Gro	~
September 9, 2009	Tracy Cain Waco	Cain
Date	Legible Name and Signature Name of Company:Neal Gro	of Proofneader